

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,542	03/31/2006	Hartmut Breithaupt	BREI3003/FJD	4804
23344 7590 882442010 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC G25 SLATERS LANE			EXAMINER	
			NIESZ, JASON KAROL	
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRI	OR A, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		3751	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,542

Art Unit: 3751

Based upon consideration of all of the relevant factors with respect to the claim as a whole, claim(s) 8-11, 13 and 14 are held to claim an abstract idea, and is/are therefore rejected as ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The rationale for this finding is explained below: The filling unit of applicant's claims is recited so generally as to encompass any machine capable of performing the desired steps. Use of the concept as recited in the method claims would effectively grant the applicant a monopoly over a mathematical algorithm. Furthermore, signals are not patentable subject mater under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Regarding the rejection of claims 8-11, 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph, it is not clear from the method as recited in the claim what aspect of the n filling instances is averaged.

Applicants arguments regarding the rejection of claims 1-11, 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103 is addressed in the office action mailed on 04/07/2010.

/Gregory L. Huson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3751